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# SECTION 5

EVALUATION GRID

## EVALUATION GRID (To be customised according to the project. The criteria indicated are to be used by the evaluation committee.)

## This grid must be completed by each evaluator.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Contract title:** | | **„****Establishment of the touristic and educational centre Temsko“** | | | | | | | **Publication reference:** | | | ***BGRS0200012/TD02*** | | |
| **Tender no.** | **Tenderer's name** | | **Rules of origin respected?**  (additional guidance[[1]](#footnote-1))  **(Yes/No)** | **Economic and financial capacity? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Professional capacity? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Technical capacity? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Compliance with technical specifications? (OK/a/b/…)** | **Ancillary services as required? (OK/a/b/…/NA)** | | **Nationalities of subcontractors eligible?**  **(Yes/No)** | **Other technical requirements in tender dossier?**  **(Yes/No/Not applicable)** | | **Technical compliance? (Yes/No)** | **Observations** |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluator's name** |  |
| **Evaluator's signature** |  |
| **Date** |  |

[Only very exceptionally, subject to derogation[[2]](#footnote-2), the works offers which comply with the minimum quality levels, should be technically scored.

If so, add a technical evaluation grid[[3]](#footnote-3) (setting out the technical criteria, subcriteria and weightings):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Maximum** |
| **Criteria** |  |
|  |  |
| … |  |
| … |  |
| … |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| **Overall total score** | **100** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strengths** |  |
| **Weaknesses** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluator's name** |  |
| **Evaluator's signature** |  |
| **Date** |  |

1. Applicable only to contracts financed by a basic act under the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014-2020 (above EUR 100 000 under CIR and independently of the value for other instruments) and to contracts financed by the EINSC Regulation 2021/948 of 27 May 2021 and the Ukraine Facility Regulation (EU) 2024/792 of 29 February 2024 under the multiannual financial framework for the years 2021-2027. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Please note that such derogation is not required for ‘Design-Build’ (‘DB’) tender dossiers (see Article 18 of the DB contract notice, Article 24 of the DB instructions to tenderers, and footnote 3 in Section 5.3.3 ‘Selection and award criteria’ of the PRAG. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. For ‘Design-Build’ (‘DB’) tender dossiers where the award criterion is ‘lowest aggregate of costs’ (see option 3 of Article 18 of the DB contract notice and of Article 24 of the DB instructions to tenderers), the criteria on the basis of which the aggregation of capital expenditure (‘CAPEX’) and operational expenditure (‘OPEX’) costs will be calculated, must be specified in the ‘Criteria’ column and the ‘Maximum’ column can be deleted as ponderation is replaced with aggregation. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)